

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,593	03/15/2004	Isamu Ohshita	107156-00231	8284	
4372	7590 11/09/2005		EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX PLLC			GARRETT, DAWN L		
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20036		1774		
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/799,593	OHSHITA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dawn Garrett	1774		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

- THE MAILING DATE	or and communication appear	o on bic oorer ander mai	are corresponden	00 444.000	
THE REPLY FILED 01 Novembe	2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWAN	NCE.	
places the application in co a Request for Continued Ex time periods:	nust timely file one of the followindition for allowance; (2) a Noticamination (RCE) in compliance	ng replies: (1) an amendmen ce of Appeal (with appeal fer with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	nt, affidavit, or othe e) in compliance wi	r evidence, which th 37 CFR 41.31	h ∣; or (3)
	es 3 months from the mailing date of				
no event, however, will the	s on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad e statutory period for reply expire lat	er than SIX MONTHS from the i	mailing date of the fina	al rejection.	
	checked, check either box (a) or (b FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706		N THE FIRST REPLY	WAS FILED WIT	HIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from set forth in (b) above, if checked. An may reduce any earned patent term a NOTICE OF APPEAL	es of determining the period of exte om: (1) the expiration date of the sh y reply received by the Office later t	nsion and the corresponding an ortened statutory period for repl	nount of the fee. The ly originally set in the	appropriate extensifinal Office action;	sion fee or (2) as
	led on A brief in compli 37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens n filed, any reply must be filed v	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the appeal	
	(a) filed offer a final rejection b	ut prior to the data of filing a	brief will not be on	tared become	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s that would require further consorting the state of the section o	sideration and/or search (se		tered because	
	to place the application in bette		ally reducing or simp	plifying the issue	es for
(d) They present addition	nal claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of fina	lly rejected claims.		
NOTE: (See	37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in	n compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of No	on-Compliant Amen	dment (PTOL-32	24).
5. Applicant's reply has over					•
	ed claim(s) would be allo				eling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the	laims would be rejected is provi			and an explanation	on of
Claim(s) allowed:	•			•	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5 and</u>					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and (
Claim(s) withdrawn from co AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDEN					
8. The affidavit or other eviden		hoforo or on the data of filin	a a Natica of Annas	مطاعم الأنبياء	
	provide a showing of good and				
showing a good and suffici	vit or other evidence failed to over ent reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appe ed. See 37 CFR 41	ellant fails to prov .33(d)(1).	e vide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERA		of the status of the claims a	fter entry is below o	or attached.	
11. The request for reconside See Continuation Sheet.				allowance beca	use:
12. Note the attached Information	tion Disclosure Statement(s). (F	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)		
13.			- 1	01 -	-

Dawn Garrett Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1774

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argments are not considered persuasive to overcome the prior art rejection of record. The rejection over Rogers is respectfully maintained for the reasons of record.